

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BRASIL 00166 081636Z

44

ACTION ARA-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SP-02 OES-03 TRSE-00 COME-00 EB-07

OMB-01 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-01 INR-07 L-02 NSAE-00

NSC-05 PA-01 RSC-01 PRS-01 SS-15 USIA-06 ARAE-00

NSF-01 AID-05 /072 W

----- 093480

P R 081500Z JAN 75

FM AMEMBASSY BRASILIA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 7168

INFO AMEMBASSY BUENOS AIRES

AMEMBASSY CARACAS

O/AMEMBASSY MEXICO CITY 417

AMEMBASSY MONTEVIDEO

AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO

C O N F I D E N T I A L BRASILIA 0166

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, TGEN, XM, UY, BR

SUBJECT: WORKING GROUP ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

REFS: A. MONTEVIDEO 3794; B. STATE 273355; C. STATE 273356;

D. BRASILIA 8326; E. BRASILIA 9665

1. SUMMARY: TO ASCERTAIN STATUS OF GOB RESPONSE TO US

AIDE MEMOIRE (REFTEL (B)), ECON COUNSELOR

JANUARY 6 CALLED ON AMBASSADOR CABRAL DE MELLO, CHIEF

FONOFF BUREAU FOR REGIONAL AMERICAN INSTITUTIONS, AND ON

WALTER BAUTISTA VIDAL, SECRETARY FOR INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY

IN MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND COMMERCE. FONOFF OFFICIAL

RECOGNIZED IMPORTANCE OF KEEPING US-LA DIALOGUE ALIVE

BUT OPPOSED CREATION OF NEW INTER-AMERICAN INSTITUTIONAL

MACHINERY. TECHNOLOGY OFFICIAL STRESSED IMPORTANCE OF

USG POLITICAL UNDERTAKING REGARDING ELEVEN LA POINTS

PRESENTED AT SANTIAGO MEETING. END SUMMARY:

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BRASIL 00166 081636Z

2. CABRAL DE MELLO SAID GOB RESPONSE WAS STILL IN

PREPARATION BUT THAT HE DID NOT THINK GOB WOULD "REFUSE" US AIDE MEMOIRE; GOB "DID NOT WANT ANOTHER PERMANENT INSTITUTION". MAIN POINT WAS TO KEEP "DIALOGUE ALIVE, PROVE FOR SPECIFIC AREAS OF AGREEMENT." HE PERSONALLY APPRECIATED DIFFICULTIES USG WAS FACING IN THIS AREA, WHICH PARTLY INVOLVED PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS. REASON FOR DELAY IN GOB'S REPLY WAS THAT BAUTISTA VIDAL HAD BEEN ON LEAVE; HE, CABRAL DE MELLO, HAD PROVIDED BAUTISTA WITH COPY OF AIDE MEMOIRE ONLY LAST WEEK, AND EXPECTED BAUTISTA VIDAL'S RECOMMENDATIONS BY JANUARY 10. CABRAL DE MELLO MADE NO SPECIFIC COMMITMENT AS TO WHEN GOB REPLY MIGHT BE FORTHCOMING, EXCEPT TO NOTE THAT HE PERSONALLY WAS HANDLING THE MATTER.

3. IN SEPARATE MEETING, BAUTISTA VIDAL EXPRESSED DIS-SATISFACTION WITH US POSITIONS IN WORKING GROUP. RE SPECIFIC COMMITMENTS, BAUTISTA SAID LATIN AMERICAN DELEGATIONS WELL UNDERSTOOD DIFFICULTIES US EXECUTIVE BRANCH WOULD BE FACING IN IMPLEMENTATION BUT WHAT THEY SOUGHT WAS A GENERAL POLITICAL UNDERTAKING BY USG TO PURSUE AT LEAST SOME OF THE LINES OF ACTION CONTAINED IN ELEVEN POINTS. AS AN EXAMPLE, HE CITED FUNDING FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE UNDER OAS; PRESENT LEVEL OF \$12 MILLION WAS TOTALLY INADEQUATE. IN RESPONSE TO SUGGESTION THAT MAIN POINT OF US AIDE MEMOIRE WAS THE NEED TO PRESERVE FLEXIBILITY, BAUTISTA VIDAL SAID THAT THE TERM "PRECONDITION" MIGHT HAVE BEEN UNFORTUNATE CHOICE OF WORDS; NOT ALL ELEVEN POINTS, IN BRAZILIAN VIEWS, WERE EQUALLY IMPORTANT. ALL POINTS OBVIOUSLY WERE A MATTER FOR FURTHER JOINT EXPLORATION AND DEVELOPMENT AND, IN THAT SENSE, REPRESENTED POINTS FOR AN AGENDA. BAUTISTA VIDAL, HOWEVER, DID NOT RETREAT FROM IDEA THAT US SHOULD MAKE POLITICAL COMMITMENT ON AT LEAST SOME OF THE ELEVEN POINTS.

4. COMMENT: CABRAL DE MELLO DID NOT SEEM WELL PREPARED FOR DEALING WITH SPECIFICS OF ISSUE. WHILE CONVEYING IMPRESSION THAT GOB WISHED AMICABLE RESOLUTION, HE CLEARLY HAD NOT YET THOUGHT THROUGH A STRATEGY FOR MONTEVIDEO MEETING, AND DEPTH OF US CONCERN ABOUT PRECONDITIONS. BAUTISTA VIDAL CONVEYED RATHER HARD LINE,  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BRASIL 00166 081636Z

BUT MAY HAVE BEEN CONCERNED TO KEEP OPTIONS OPEN. GENERALLY, CABRAL DE MELLO'S VIEWS, SUCH AS OPPOSITION TO PERMANENT MACHINERY, APPEAR TO BE IN LINE WITH BRAZILIAN POSITION TAKEN DURING AMBASSADOR BOWDLER'S VISIT. JOHNSON

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** SCIENTIFIC COOPERATION, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, TECHNOLOGICAL EXCHANGES, AIDE MEMOIRE  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 08 JAN 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975BRASIL00166  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750007-0711  
**From:** BRASILIA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750170/aaaacjtt.tel  
**Line Count:** 112  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION ARA  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 75 MONTEVIDEO 3794, 75 STATE 273355, 75 STATE 273356  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 03 SEP 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <03 SEP 2003 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <04 SEP 2003 by GolinoFR>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** WORKING GROUP ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER  
**TAGS:** PFOR, TGEN, XM, UY, BR, US  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006